**UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL** (Small Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 287985/002

Total Pages in this Submission

#### TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

**Box Patent Application** 

				Washi	ington, D.C. 20231		
Transmitt	ed he	rewith for	filing under 3	5 U.S.C. 111(a	) and 37 C.F.R. 1.53	B(b) is a new utility patent ap	plication for an
invention			RKINSON'S I	NICE A CE			<u> </u>
	TVIII)	TOFTAL	MMINSON S I				711709
an <mark>d inven</mark>	ted by	<i>r</i> :					95
- 0							30
If a CON	TINU	ATION AP	PLICATION,	check appropr	iate box and supply	the requisite information:	
□ Con	tinua	tion 🗵	Divisional	☐ Continu	ation-in-part (CIP)	of prior application No.:	09/287,951
Which is							
☐ Con		tion $\sqcup$	Divisional	⊔ Continu	ation-in-part (CIP)	of prior application No.:	
Which is  Con		tion 🗆	Divisional	☐ Continu	ation-in-part (CIP)	of prior application No.:	
Enclosed	are:						
				Appli	ication Elements		
1. 🗆	Filir	ng fee as o	calculated and	d transmitted a	s described below		
2. 🛛	Spe	ecification	having	13	pages and ir	ncluding the following:	
а	. 🖾	Descript	ive Title of the	e Invention			
b	. 🗆	Cross R	eferences to I	Related Applica	ations (if applicable)		
С	. 🗆	Stateme	nt Regarding	Federally-spor	nsored Research/De	velopment <i>(if applicable)</i>	
d	. 🗆			ne Appendix <i>(if</i>			
е	. Ø	Backgro	und of the Inv	ention			
f	. <b>\( \)</b>	Brief Sur	mmary of the	Invention			
g	. <b></b>		•	e Drawings <i>(if c</i>	drawings filed)		
h.	. 🗵		Description	- ,	- ,		
i.			as Classified	Below			
j.			of the Disclos				
J.	·		C. 410 D100100	Ju. 0			

# The state of the s

# UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Small Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 287985/002

Total Pages in this Submission

#### **Application Elements (Continued)**

3.	$\boxtimes$	Drawing(s) (when necessary as prescribed by 35 USC 113)					
	a.	□ Formal					
4.	$\boxtimes$	Oath or Declaration					
	a.	☐ Newly executed (original or copy) ☐ Unexecuted					
	b.	☑ Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d)) (for continuation/divisional application only)					
	C.	☑ With Power of Attorney  ☐ Without Power of Attorney					
	d.	·					
<sup>4</sup> 5.		Incorporation By Reference (usable if Box 4b is checked) The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by reference therein.					
6.		Computer Program in Microfiche					
7.		Genetic Sequence Submission (if applicable, all must be included)					
	a.	□ Paper Copy					
	b.	□ Computer Readable Copy					
	C.	☐ Statement Verifying Identical Paper and Computer Readable Copy					
	Accompanying Application Parts						
8.		Assignment Papers (cover sheet & documents)					
9.		37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee)					
10.		English Translation Document (if applicable)					
11.		Information Disclosure Statement/PTO-1449					
12.		Preliminary Amendment					
13.		Acknowledgment postcard					
14.	$\boxtimes$	Certificate of Mailing					
		☐ First Class ☒ Express Mail (Specify Label No.): EL 622 831 899 US					

# all the self with the self that the self with the self with that that

# UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL (Small Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 287985/002

Total Pages in this Submission

	Accompanying Application Parts (Continued)
15.	Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)
16.	Small Entity Statement(s) - Specify Number of Statements Submitted:
17.	Additional Enclosures (please identify below):
	Request That Application Not Be Published Pursuant To 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)
18.	Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2), Applicant hereby requests that this patent application not be published pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(1). Applicant hereby certifies that the invention disclosed in this application has not and will not be the subject of an application filed in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing of the application.
	Warning
	An applicant who makes a request not to publish, but who subsequently files in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement specified in 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(i), must notify the Director of such filing not later than 45 days after the date of the filing of such foreign or international application. A failure of the applicant to provide such notice within the prescribed period shall result in the application being regarded as abandoned, unless it is shown to the satisfaction of the Director that the delay in submitting the notice was unintentional.

#### **UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL** (Small Entity)

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Docket No. 287985/002

Total Pages in this Submission

Fee	Calcu	lation	and	<b>Transmit</b>	tal

CLAIMS AS FILED						
For	#Filed	#Allowed	#Extra		Rate	Fee
Total Claims	21	- 20 =	1	x	\$9.00	\$9.00
Indep. Claims	3	- 3 =	0	x	\$40.00	\$0.00
Multiple Depender	nt Claims (chec	k if applicable)				\$0.00
				"	BASIC FEE	\$355.00
OTHER FEE (spe	cify purpose)					\$0.00
					TOTAL FILING FEE	\$364.00
☐ A check in the	amount of	to	cover the filin	g fee is	enclosed.	

☑ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

19-4709

□ Charge the amount of the control of the

\$364.00

as filing fee.

- ☑ Credit any overpayment.
- ☐ Charge any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17.
- Charge the issue fee set in 37 C.F.R. 1.18 at the mailing of the Notice of Allowance,

pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.311(b).

Dated:

11-13-00

Signature

Steven B. Pokotilow Reg. No. 26,405

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

180 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038

cc:

CERTIFICATE OF M Applicant(s): Moshe Kush	Docket No. 287985/002					
Serial No. New Application						
Invention: TREATMENT	OF PARKINSON'S DISEASE		711709 PTO			
I hereby certify that the fo	ollowing correspondence:		JCse 009			
Utility Patent Application 2 sheets of formal drawin		pages); copy of prior Declaration	and Power of Attorney and			
		of correspondence)				
is being deposited with t		of correspondence) ce "Express Mail Post Office to A	Addressee" service under			
	he United States Postal Servi					
	he United States Postal Servi	ce "Express Mail Post Office to A				
37 CFR 1.10 in an envelo	he United States Postal Servi	ce "Express Mail Post Office to Annt Commissioner for Patents, Wa	ashington, D.C. 20231 on			
37 CFR 1.10 in an envelo	he United States Postal Servi	ce "Express Mail Post Office to A	ashington, D.C. 20231 on			
37 CFR 1.10 in an envelo	he United States Postal Servi	ce "Express Mail Post Office to Annt Commissioner for Patents, Wa	oll Ging Correspondence)			
37 CFR 1.10 in an envelo	he United States Postal Servi	Patricia Drisc  (Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai	oll ling Correspondence) porrespondence)			

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant Moshe Kushnir and Eli Heldman

Filed Concurrently herewith :

For New Divisional Application based on U.S. Patent Application

> Serial No. 09/287951 filed April 7, 1999 for TREATMENT OF PARKINSON'S DISEASE

Docket No. 287985/0002

BVO:lgs

November 13, 2000

#### PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination of the above-referenced divisional application on its merits, please amend the above-referenced Application as follows:

#### IN THE TITLE:

Please change the title to -- AN APPARATUS FOR THE TRANSDERMAL TREATMENT

OF PARKINSON'S DISEASE --

#### **IN THE CLAIMS:**

Please cancel claims 1-9 and 21, without prejudice.

"Express Mail" Mailing Label Number: <u>EL 622831899US</u>

Date of Deposit: 11-13-00

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents,

Washington, D.C. 20231.

Name: Patricia Driscoil

1047959v1

#### REMARKS

Applicants make this Amendment prior to examination in connection with the filing of a divisional application directed to claims restricted out of the parent and now allowed United States Patent Application Serial No. 09/287,951. Applicant submits that remaining claims 10-20, drawn to an apparatus for delivering a composition to treat Parkinson's Disease transdermally, are in condition for allowance.

The Claims 1-9 and 21 drawn to a composition for the treatment of Parkinson's disease were substantially examined and allowed by the Examiner in U.S. application Serial No. 09/287951. What is novel about the claimed apparatus is both its configuration and its intended use in conjunction with various formulations for the transdermal treatment of diseases, specifically Parkinson's disease. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 10-20 are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner is unable to issue an immediate Notice of Allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven B. Pokotilow

Registration No. 26,405

Attorney for Applicant

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

180 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038-4982

(212) 806-5400

# APPLICATION OF MOSHE KUSHNIR AND ELI HELDMAN

#### FOR LETTERS PATENT OF THE UNITED STATES

#### FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN

#### TREATMENT OF PARKINSON'S DISEASE

Signature:

Rashida A. Karmali Registration No. 43,705 Attorney for Applicants Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP 180 Maiden Lane New York, New York 10038 (212) 806-5400

Docket No. 287985/002

"Express Mail" Mailing Label
Number: EL 103 746 871 US
Date of Deposit: April 7 1999
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Name: Rashida A. Karmali

816166v1

1)

15

5

### METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR TREATMENT OF PARKINSON'S DISEASE FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to methods and apparatus for treatment of Parkinson's disease.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is one of the most common neuro-degenerative diseases which affect the elderly.

The following is a representative list of references which discuss Parkinson's disease and therapeutic strategies:

- 1. de Rijk MC, Breteler MMB, Graveland GA, et al. Prevalence of Parkinson's disease in the elderly: The Rotterdam study. Neurol. 1995; 45:2143-2146.
  - 2. Bennet DA, Beckett LA, Murray AM, et al. Prevalence of Parkinsonian signs and associated mortality in a community population of older people. N Engl. J. Med. 1996; 334(2):71-76.
  - 3. Hornykiewicz O, Kish SJ. Biochemical pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease. Adv Neurol. 1986; 45:19-34.
    - 4. Leenders KL, Salmon EP, Tyrrel P, et al. The nigrostriatal dopaminergic system assessed in vivo by positron emission tomography in healthy volunteer subjects and patients with Parkinson's disease. Arch Neurol. 1990; 47:1290-1298.
- 5. LeWitt PA. Levodopa Therapeutics: New treatment strategies. Neurology 1993; 43(suppl. 6):S31-S37.
  - 6. Peppe A, Dambrosia JM, Chase TN. Risk factors for motor response complications in L-Dopa treated parkinsonian patients. Adv Neurol 1993; 60:698-702.
- 7. Chase TN, Mouradian MM, Engber TM. Motor response complications and the function of striatal efferent systems. Neurology 1993; 43(suppl. 6): S23-S27.
  - 8. Doller HJ, Connor JD. Changes in neostriatal dopamine concentrations in response to levodopa infusions. J Neurochem 1980; 34:1264-1269.
  - 9. Spencer SE, Wooten GF. Altered pharmacokinetics of L-dopa metabolism in rat striatum deprived of dopaminergic innervation. Neurology 1984; 34:1105-1108.
- 30 10. Spencer SE, Wooten GF. Pharmacologic effects of L-dopa are not closely linked temporally to striatal dopamine concentration. Neurology 1984; 34:1609-1611.

:

30

- 11. Hardie RJ, Malcolm SL, Lees AJ, et al. The pharmacokinetics of intravenous and oral levodopa in Parkinson's patients who exhibit on-off fluctuations Br J Clin Pharmacol 1986; 22:421-436.
- 12. Fabbrini G, Juncos J, Mouradian MM, et al. Levodopa pharmacokinetic mechanisms and motor fluctuations in Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 1987; 21:370-376.
- 13. Nutt JG, Woodward WR, Hammerstad JP, et al. The "on-off" phenomenon in Parkinson's disease: relation to levodopa absorption and transport. N Engl J Med 1984; 310:483-488.
- 14. Shoulson I, Glaubiger GA, Chase TN. On-off response: clinical and biochemical correlations during oral and intravenous levodopa administration in parkinsonian patients.

  Neurology 1975; 25:1144-1148.
  - 15. Quinn N, Parkes JD, Marsden CD. Control of on/off phenomenon by continuous intravenous infusion of levodopa. Neurology 1984; 34:1131-1136.
- Schuh LA, Bennet JP. Suppression of dyskinesias in advanced Parkinson's disease. I.
   Continuous intravenous levodopa shifts dose-response for production of dyskinesias but not for relief of parkinsonism in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1993; 43:1545-1550.
  - 17. Sage JI, McHale DM, Sonsulla P, et al. Continuous levodopa infusion to treat complex dystonia in Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1989; 39:888-891.
- 20 18. Schelosky L, Poewe W. Current strategies in the drug treatment of advanced Parkinson's disease new modes of dopamine substitution. Acta neurol Scand 1993; 87(suppl. 146):46-49.
  - 19. Nutt JG, Woodward WR. Levodopa pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in fluctuating parkinsonian patients. Neurology 1986; 36:739-744.
- 25 20. Nelson MV, Berchou RC, LeWitt PA, et al. Pharmacodynamic modeling of concentration-effect relationship after controlled-release carbidopa/levodopa (Sinemet CR-4) in Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1990; 40:70-74.
  - 21. Bredberg E, Nilson D, Johansson K, et al. Intraduodenal infusion of a water-based levodopa dispersion for optimisation of the therapeutic effect in sever Parkinson's disease. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1993; 45:117-122.
  - 22. Mouradian MM, Juncos JL, Fabbrini G, et al. Motor fluctuations in Parkinson's disease: central pathophysiological mechanisms. Part II. Ann Neurol 1988; 24;372-378.

30

- 23. Sage JI, Mark MH. The rationale for continuous dopaminergic stimulation in patients with Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1992; 42(Suppl. 1):23-28.
- 24. Chase TN, Baronti F, Fabbrini G, et al. Rational for continuous dopaminometic therapy of Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1989; 39(Suppl. 2):7-10.
- 5 25. Sage JI, Mark MH. Basic mechanisms of motor fluctuations. Neurology 1994; 44(Suppl. 6):S10-S14.
  - 26. Sage JL, Trooskin S, Sonsalla PK, et al. Experience with continuous enteral levodopa infusions in the treatment of 9 patients with advanced Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1989; 39(Suppl. 2):60-63.
- Mouradian MM, Heuser IJE, Baronti F, et al. Modification of central dopaminergic mechanisms by continuous levodopa therapy for advanced Parkinson's disease. Ann Neurol 1990; 27:18-23.
  - 28. Bravi D, Mouradian MM, Roberts JW, et al. End-of-dose dystonia in Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1993; 43:2130-2131.
- 15 29. Tanner CM, Melamed E, Lees AJ. Managing motor fluctuations, dyskinesias and other adverse effects in Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1994; 44(Suppl. 1):S12-S16.
  - 30. Joseph King Ching Tsui. Future Treatment of Parkinson's disease. Can J Neurol Science 1992; 19:160-162.
  - 31. Djaldetti R, Atlas D, Melamed E. Subcutaneous injections of levodopa-ethylester: A potential novel rescue therapy for response fluctuations in patients with Parkinson's disease (Abst). Neurology 1995; 45(Suppl. 4):415S.
  - 32. LeWitt PA. In: Levodopa controlled-release preparations. Neurology 1993; 43(Suppl. 6)S38-S40.
- 33. Koller WC, and Pahwa R. Treating motor fluctuations with controlled-release levodopa preparations. Neurology 1994; 44(Suppl. 6):S23-S28.

The prevalence of diagnosed PD in the population above the age of 55 is about 1.4% and it increases with age (Ref. 1). Moreover, Parkinsonian signs in the elderly are estimated to occur in 30% of the population over the age of 65 (Ref. 2). Although PD is considered a multisystem disease, it is mainly a movement disorder caused by a continuous, long lasting degeneration of the dopaminergic neurons that are located in the mesencephalic substantia nigra pars compacta. PD becomes symptomatic only after degeneration of about 60-80% of these dopaminergic neurons, or after the loss of about 90% of the striatal dopamine (Refs. 3, 4). Dopamine (DA), which is produced within the substantia nigra, reaches the

£ -3

5

10

15

20

25

30

striatum via the nigro-striatal tract and is released at the striatal synapses. DA deficiency in the striatum, due to the degeneration of the dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, is considered to be the cause of PD. Consequently, the most effective treatment of PD is Levodopa (LD), which is converted to DA by enzymatic decarboxylation. Inhibition of the peripheral aromatic amino acid decarboxylase by carbidopa (an inhibitor that cannot penetrate the blood-brain-barrier) improves dramatically the results of the treatment. However, the currently available LD preparations are effective only for a relatively short period and may be even deleterious, under certain conditions (as will be explained below).

Adverse effects of LD, such as dyskinesias and hallucinations that occur at early stages of the disease are dose-dependent. These adverse effects are attributed to hypersensitivity of denervated striatal dopaminergic receptors to exogenous dopamine (Ref. 5). At late stages of the disease additional types of adverse effects appear as the response to LD becomes unpredictable, fluctuative and the duration of the response is reduced." Motor fluctuations appear after about 4 - 5 years from the introduction of LD therapy in 24%-84% of the patients (Ref. 6). The most common and disabling motor complications are: 1) "wearing-off" fluctuations; 2) "on-off" fluctuations and 3) peak-dose dyskinesias (Ref. 7).

The "wearing-off" effect means a reduction in the duration of the beneficial effect after each administration of LD. During this period, LD must be administered more frequently than before, a problem which severely affects the quality of life of the patient. Complications such as "wearing off" may arise due to limitation of storage capacity of DA in the CNS (Refs. 5, 8-10). When neuronal DA storage is reduced, the clinical state of the patients becomes fully dependent on the fluctuating blood level of LD. Since the normal half-life of LD in the circulation is 1-2 hours (Refs. 11-13), LD should be administered at this stage more frequently and the effect is fluctuative. Moreover, with the currently available oral preparations, the blood level of LD is a function of the rate of absorption from the gastro-intestinal tract, which is irregular and uncontrollable. The resulting fluctuations of the LD blood levels contribute further to the instability of the effect. A continuous drug delivery, which maintains a constant blood level of LD, has been shown to improve significantly the clinical state of the fluctuating parkinsonian patients (Refs. 13-18). In this regard, it has been reported that therapeutic effects of LD were noticed when LD plasma levels reached 300-800 ng/ml (Refs. 19-21).

(

15

5

10

The "on-off" fluctuations are inconsistent transitions between a hypokinetic parkinsonian state (the "off" state) and a hyperkinetic state (the "on" state). The clinical state of these patients is highly correlated with the plasma concentration of LD (Refs. 5, 20). It is thought that these fluctuations result from a narrowing of the therapeutic window of LD. An intermittent administration of LD, given for a long period, is considered to be one of the major causes of the reduction of the therapeutic window (Refs. 22, 23) and consequently leads to the motor fluctuations (Refs. 23-25). On the other hand, a continuous infusion of LD has been shown to increase the therapeutic window and to reduce the "on-off" fluctuations (Refs. 25-27). Moreover, during a continuous administration, the blood levels of LD which are needed to keep the patient at the "on" state gradually decrease (Ref. 21).

Peak-dose dyskinesia is a common advanced motor complication which occurs when the blood level of LD rises to its peak. This complication is observed in advanced stages of the disease when patients show a very steep dose-response curve. Under such circumstances, small shifts in circulating LD levels, and thus in cerebral DA, induce major swings in the clinical state (Ref. 7). In this stage of the disease, a continuous administration that keeps the circulating LD level constant, may prevent the dyskinesias. Moreover, these kinds of dyskinesias, like the "on-off" dyskinesia, may not develop during a continuous administration of LD (Refs. 7, 16, 17, 28, 29).

All these findings and observations clearly suggest that a continuous delivery of LD is advantageous over an intermittent administration. Persistent attempts have been made in effort to develop a sustained delivery of LD (Refs. 30, 31). Strategies to improve the clinical results of intermittent LD administration include controlled release (CR) preparations and pump-delivery of LD. However, the existing preparations and devices suffer from several disadvantages as follows:

- CR preparations have a delayed onset. The peak effect of Sinemet CR (commercially 1. available from Merck Sharp and Dohme Research Laboratories) was shown to occur an hour later than that of the conventional Sinemet (Refs. 18, 32).
- 2. The bioavailability of the CR preparations is low (Refs. 18, 32). The low bioavailability is explained by the variable properties of the gastro-intestinal tracts (Ref. 33).
- 3. Reduced reliability and predictability of the clinical response (Refs. 32, 33). 30
  - 4. According to many investigators, the CR preparations do not provide the same favorable effect which was demonstrated by a continuous administration of LD such as an IV infusion (e.g., Refs. 5, 15, 18).

20

10

15

20

25

- 5. Sclerosis of the peripheral veins occurs frequently during an IV infusion of LD (Ref. 5).
- 6. A gastrostom-duodenal tube or an esophageal catheter is very unpleasant.

To overcome these disadvantages, and yet to administer LD in a continuous manner, an alternative method of drug delivery is needed.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In the present invention, we claim that transdermal delivery of LD could be the best substitution for the methods of continuous invasive infusions, free of disadvantages of the currently available strategies.

The present invention constitutes a solution to most of the problems associated with the currently available treatments, and thus provides a safer and more effective treatment for PD.

The invention describes a novel route of administration of levodopa dissolved in a formulation which is designed to maintain stability of the drug in solution and enables continuous penetration of the drug through the skin. This method is suggested as a treatment of Parkinson's patients, especially at advanced stages of the disease. The currently available LD preparations cause side effects and deterioration in the clinical state of the disease. The present invention helps overcome these disadvantages.

In accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, an alkylester of LD such as levodopa-ethyl-ester (LDEE) is administered transdermally. For this purpose, the alkyl-ester of LD is dissolved in an appropriate formulation. The formulation consists of propylene glycol, a fatty acid and a detergent. The LD-alkyl-ester and the formulation (the solvent) are kept separately and mixed just before the beginning of the drug application. A transdermal device which includes a container connected to a patch via a narrow plastic tube is used for the transdermal delivery. The container is re-filled every 24 h. The patch is fed with the LD-alkyl-ester solution preferably by gravity, or alternatively by pump, the solution then being spread on the skin area covered by the patch. During treatment, the patient ingests tablets of carbidopa (25 - 50 mg/tablet) three times a day. According to the clinical needs, the patient could receive a supplemental treatment such as oral anti-parkinson's drug.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will be understood and appreciated more fully from the following detailed description, taken in conjunction with the drawings in which:

5

10

Fig. 1 is a simplified pictorial illustration of apparatus for transdermal administration of levodopa, constructed and operative in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

Fig. 2 is a simplified sectional illustration of apparatus of Fig. 1, taken along the lines II - II in Fig. 1.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

A formulation (solvent) useful in transdermal treatment of Parkinson's disease, in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, is now described.

The formulation is designed to dissolve a treating drug (alkyl-ester of LD) and maintain it stable in solution for the period during which a continuous transdermal penetration takes place. Preferably, the formulation provides the drug with stability and transdermal permeation properties. To achieve these goals, the formulation preferably contains several components as follows:

#### 1) Non-aqueous solvent

LD and its derivatives are more stable in non-aqueous solution than in aqueous solution. A preferred solvent is propylene glycol which is non-toxic, does not produce skin irritation and provides the proper constituency for dermal application. Other non-aqueous solvents with similar properties may also be used for this purpose.

#### 2) Transdermal enhancer and stabilizer

?

A preferred transdermal enhancer and stabilizer is carboxylic acid. The alkylesters of LD are quite soluble and much more stable in an acidic environment than in a neutral environment. The carboxylic acid also keeps the LD derivative uncharged and helps permeability through the skin.

#### 3) Detergent

Detergents have been shown to be transdermal enhancers. The detergent should not interfere with the chemical stability of the penetrating drug and should not be toxic. We found that sodium laurylsulphate and sodium deoxycholate are adequate detergents for the purpose of transdermal delivery of LD. Yet, other detergents may also be appropriate for this purpose.

Reference is now made to Figs. 1 and 2 which illustrate apparatus 10 for transdermal administration of levodopa, constructed and operative in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

20

25

£ }

15

20

5

10

Apparatus 10 preferably includes a storage compartment 12 which is in fluid communication with a dermal patch 14, preferably via a flexible plastic tube 16. Patch 14 may be made of any suitable material, such as cloth or plastic. Storage compartment 12 is preferably flexible and compressible by mechanical pressure. Storage compartment 12 preferably contains a fluid 18 (Fig. 2) which is a treating drug, such as an alkyl-ester of LD, dissolved in a formulation, such as described hereinabove in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

In accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the alkylester of LD is kept pre-weighed as a dried powder. Carbidopa (25 - 50 mg/tablet) is preferably ingested two hours before the beginning of the transdermal delivery of the LD derivative and then three times a day throughout the entire treatment. Preferably just before the beginning of the dermal application, the alkyl-ester of LD is placed in storage compartment 12 and a sufficient amount of formulation is added therein, and the constituents are thoroughly mixed together. Storage compartment 12 is then preferably tied to an arm or any other suitable location on a patient, such as with a strap 20, and connected to patch 14 via tube 16.

Flow of fluid 18 from storage compartment 12 to patch 14 is preferably controlled by a regulating valve 22. Patch 14 is preferably attached to the skin along an adhesive periphery 24, and a central portion 26 is preferably adapted to receive and maintain a quantity of fluid 18. Fluid 18 spreads under patch 14 preferably via a system of hollow capillaries 28 (Fig. 2), and penetrates the skin of a patient.

In a normal mode of application, fluid 18 flows from storage compartment 12 to patch 14 by gravity, or alternatively by a miniature pump (not shown). When necessary, flow of fluid 18 may be increased by exerting mechanical pressure on storage compartment 12 or by increasing the pump rate.

Apparatus 10 is preferably disposable. The location of apparatus 10 on the patient's skin may be changed periodically. Supplemental oral treatment may be given during the transdermal delivery according to clinical needs.

Various preparations of LD have been tested by the inventors and the experimental results are now presented.

Solubility of LDEE: The solubility of LD, LD methyl ester (LDME) and LD ethyl ester (LDEE) was examined in several potential solvents, with increasing amounts of the three LD derivatives being added to a constant volume of 5% propionic acid in water or 10% propionic acid in propylene glycol. We found that only negligible amounts of LD or LDME

25

15

20

25

30

5

were soluble in the two solvents, whereas at least 660 mg LDEE were soluble in 1 ml of both solvents. Adding 33% glycerol to the aqueous solvent did not significantly alter the solubility of the three derivatives, although a higher concentration of propionic acid (10%) was needed in the case of the aqueous solutions.

Stability of LDEE: The stability of LDEE was tested in several combinations of potential components of the formulation. For this purpose, 500 mg LDEE was dissolved in 1 ml 5% propionic acid just before the beginning of the stability experiment. In parallel, 500 mg LDEE was dissolved in 1 ml propylene glycol containing 10% propionic acid. These preparations of LDEE were used as stock solutions for several tested formulations as follows:

- 10 1) LDEE in aqueous solution of 5% propionic as prepared above.
  - 2) Same solution as in No. 1 above + 5% sodium deoxycholate.
  - 3) Same solution as in No. 1 above + 5% sodium dodecylsulfate.
  - 4) Same solution as in No. 1 above + 5% Tween-20.
  - 5) Same solution as in No. 1 above + 5% tritonX100.
  - 6) Same solution as in No. 1 above + glycerol at a ratio of 1:1.
  - 7) Same solution as in No. 1 above + propylene glycol at a ratio of 2:1.
  - 8) LDEE in propylene glycol containing 10% propionic acid.
  - 9) Same solution as in No. 8 above + 5% sodium deoxycholate.
  - 10) Same solution as in No. 8 above + 5% sodium dodecylsulfate.

The above LDEE formulations were run on a thin layer chromatography (on silica gel - Merk Art. 5735; and on cellulose - Merk Art. 5574) for a qualitative detection of LDEE and its degradative products. The various LDEE formulations were kept at room temperature for several days and separation of the LDEE and its degradative products on the thin layer chromatography were repeated at various times after dissolving the LDEE. The running solvents for the thin layer chromatography were:

- a) Propanol: Butanoic Acid: Water (20:4:10).
- b) Dichloromethane: Acetone: Ethyl-Acetate: Methanol (35:15:1:0.25).

Immediately after the preparation, LDEE appeared on the thin layer chromatography as a single spot. No degradative products were seen at this stage. Degradative products appeared in formulations that contained tritonX100 24 h after dissolving the LDEE. Other formulations did not show significant degradation 24 h after dissolving the LDEE. At 48 h after dissolving the LDEE, degradative products appeared in all the aqueous solutions with the following order of degradation: formulation containing tritonX100 > formulation

containing Tween-20 > formulation containing sodium dodecylsulfate = formulation containing sodium deoxycholate. In the propylene glycol-based formulations, the LDEE was stable for more than 48 h.

Pharmacolanetic study: In a pilot experiment of transdermal delivery, two human volunteers were exposed for 24 h to LDEE dissolved in propylene glycol containing 10% propionic acid and 5% of either sodium deoxycholate (one volunteer) or sodium dodecylsulfate (one volunteer). Blood samples were taken at various times after the application of the LDEE on the skin and LDEE in the serum was separated on high performance liquid chromatography and determined with an electrochemical detector. The protocol and the details of this pilot study are described in the enclosed appendix. The results showed that blood levels of LDEE after dermal application using the above mentioned formulations could reach about 200 ng/ml. These levels are considered appropriate for obtaining therapeutic effect in Parkinson's patients.

It will be appreciated by persons skilled in the art that the present invention is not limited by what has been particularly shown and described hereinabove. Rather the scope of the present invention includes both combinations and subcombinations of the features described hereinabove as well as modifications and variations thereof which would occur to a person of skill in the art upon reading the foregoing description and which are not in the prior art.

and the color of t

15

5

10

15

20

30

#### 11 CLAIMS


#### What is claimed is:


- 1. A pharmaceutical composition for treatment of Parkinson's disease comprising a compound of levodopa dissolved in a non-degradative solvent which allows transdermal administration of levodopa.
- 2. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 1 and wherein said compound of levodopa is an alkyl-ester of levodopa and said solvent is a formulation comprising a substantially non-aqueous solvent, a transdermal enhancer and a detergent.
- 3. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 2 wherein said alkyl-ester of levodopa is levodopa-ethyl-ester (LDEE).
  - 4. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 2 wherein said non-aqueous solvent has at least one of the following properties: non-toxic and non-irritant.
  - 5. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 2 wherein said non-aqueous solvent is propylene glycol.
  - 6. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 2 wherein said transdermal enhancer is also a stabilizer.
  - 7. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 2 wherein said transdermal enhancer is a carboxylic acid.
  - 8. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 7 wherein said carboxylic acid is selected from the group consisting of propionic acid and butanoic acid.
  - 9. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 2 wherein said detergent is selected from the group consisting of sodium laurylsulphate, sodium deoxycholate and their derivatives.
- 10. Apparatus for transdermal delivery of a substance for treatment of Parkinson's disease, said apparatus comprising:
  - a storage compartment containing therein a fluid for transdermal treatment of Parkinson's disease; and
  - a dermal patch in fluid communication with said storage compartment, said dermal patch being attached to a portion of skin of a patient, wherein said fluid flows from said storage compartment to said dermal patch and is thence transdermally delivered to said patient.
  - 11. Apparatus according to claim 10 wherein said dermal patch comprises a plurality of hollow capillaries for flow of said fluid therethrough to the skin of said patient.

- 12. Apparatus according to either of claims 10 and 11 and wherein said storage compartment is compressible by mechanical pressure.
- 13. Apparatus according to any of claims 10 12 and comprising a regulating valve for controlling flow of said fluid from said storage compartment to said dermal patch.
- 5 14. A method for treatment of Parkinson's disease, comprising the step of transdermally administering a levodopa drug in a stable solution.
  - 15. A method according to claim 14 wherein said step of transdermally administering said levodopa drug comprises substantially continuous transdermal penetration of levodopa.
- 10 16. A method according to claim 14 and wherein said levodopa drug comprises an alkyl-ester of levodopa.
  - 17. A method according to claim 14 and wherein said solution comprises a substantially non-aqueous solvent, a transdermal enhancer and a detergent.
  - 18. A method according to claim 14 and wherein said levodopa drug and said solution are stored separately and mixed just before transdermally applying said drug.
  - 19. A method according to claim 14 and further comprising the step of ingesting carbidopa before commencing transdermal delivery of said levodopa drug.
  - 20. A method according to claim 14 and further comprising the step of ingesting carbidopa during transdermal delivery of said levodopa drug.
- 20 21. A method according to claim 14 and further comprising the step of receiving an oral anti-parkinson's drug.

#### 13 ABSTRACT

A pharmaceutical composition for treatment of Parkinson's disease comprising a compound of levodopa dissolved in a non-degradative solvent which allows transdermal administration of levodopa. The compound of levodopa is an alkyl-ester of levodopa and the solvent is a formulation comprising a substantially non-aqueous solvent, a transdermal enhancer and a detergent. The alkyl-ester of levodopa is preferably levodopa-ethyl-ester (LDEE).





Docket No. 287985/002

### **Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application English Language Declaration**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

TREATMENT OF PARKINS	ON'S DISEASE		
the specification of which			
(check one)			
☐ is attached hereto.  ☑ was filed on ☐ June 26, 1  Application Number ☐ 00  and was amended on	9/287,951	as United States Application No.	or PCT International
and was amended on	January 21, 2000	(if applicable)	
I hereby state that I have including the claims, as an	reviewed and und nended by any an	derstand the contents of the above in the above in the above.	dentified specification,
I acknowledge the duty to known to me to be mate Section 1.56.	disclose to the Urial to patentabil	Jnited States Patent and Trademark ity as defined in Title 37, Code of	Office all information Federal Regulations,
Section 365(b) of any for any PCT International applisted below and have also	eign application(s dication which de didentified below T International a	nder Title 35, United States Code, s) for patent or inventor's certificate signated at least one country other to, by checking the box, any foreign application having a filing date before	, or Section 365(a) of han the United States, pplication for patent or
Prior Foreign Application(s	s)		Priority Not Claimed
IL 119417	Israel	10/13/1996	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
PTO-SB-01 (9-95) (Modified)		P02/REV02 Patent and Trademark 0	Office-U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COM

application(s) listed below:	r 35 U.S.C. Section 119(e)	of any United States provisional
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	
insofar as the subject matter of e United States or PCT International U.S.C. Section 112, I acknowledg Office all information known to m	ach of the claims of this app I application in the manner p e the duty to disclose to the to be to be material to patentable to between the filing date of	the United States, listed below and, plication is not disclosed in the prior provided by the first paragraph of 35 United States Patent and Trademark ility as defined in Title 37, C. F. R., the prior application and the national
PCT/IL97/00327	10/09/1997	
-		(Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(Filing Date)

(Status)

(patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Serial No.)

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (list name and registration number)

Lawrence Rosenthal, Reg. No. 24,377 Steven B. Pokotilow, Reg. No. 26,405 Howard M. Gitten, Reg. No. 32,138 Matthew W. Siegal, Reg. No. 32,941 James J. DeCarlo, Reg. No. 36,120

Send Correspondence to: STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP

180 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038

Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number)

(212) 806-5400

Sole or first inventor's signature  M.   Mushing	/ / Date
	1/5/2000
Residence Ramat Gan, Israel	(MAY1st 2000)
Citizenship Israel	, , ,
Post Office Address 5 Jericho Street, Ramat Gan 52356, Israel	

Full name of second inventor, if any Eliahu Heldman		
Second inventor's signature	Mr Keldun	June 5,2000
Residence Rehovot, Israel		
Citizenship Israel		
Post Office Address 8 Simtat Hamuchtar, Rehovot 76516,	, Israel	